

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/397,481	09/16/1999	JAMES L. HAWS	004578.1025(8802		
45507	7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER BC		CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL			
2001 ROSS A 6TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	DALLAS, TX 75201			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	3, ***			
Advisory Action	09/397,481	HAWS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	A. Michael Chambers	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing has a strict for reply expires and (1) the mailing data of this (1).		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	•			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(570) 00 ()			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · 	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>7-12 and 19-23</u> . Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 13-18 and 24-36</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	do(e)				

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3753

13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: As stated in the final rejection and contrary to applicants' remarks, the deposition of the theat pipes 22 of Faghri (4,976,308) are "... at least substantially within the chamber and disposed ..." within the material of the housing 12. This deposition is clearly shown in Figure 3. The chamber includes phase change porous heat absorbing material. Similar remarks apply to the method claims...